Union Comprehensive High 1163 Lakeside Drive Union, SC 29379 Grades 9-12 High School **Enrollment** 1,034 Students **Principal** Dr. Kristi V. Woodall 864-429-1750 Superintendent Dr. Thomas White 864-429-1740 **Board Chair** Dr. Wanda All 864-429-0746 The State of South Carolina **Annual School** 2005 Report Card ABSOLUTE RATING EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 6 13 13 1 IMPROVEMENT RATING ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS This school met 19 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups. Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

0

GOOD

YES

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2002 | Good | Average | N/A |
| 2003 | Good | Below Average | No |
| 2004 | Good | Average | No |
| 2005 | Excellent | Good | Yes |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Passed no subtests

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS **High Schools with Our School** Students Like Ours Percent 2004 2005 2006 2004 2005 2006 Passed 2 subtests 69.7 66.5 N/A 73.5 69.3 N/A Passed 1 subtest 13.8 12.5 N/A 14.1 15.6 N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2005 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 95 1% 94 5%

21.0

N/A

12.7

15.1

N/A

16.5

| ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP | | | | | | |
|---|------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Percent of | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours | | | | |
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* | 11.8 | 12.0 | | | | |
| Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement | 11.8 | 12.3 | | | | |
| Seniors who met the grade point average | 59.5 | 48.5 | | | | |

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

| GRADUATION RATE | | |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Number of Students | 238 | 154 |
| Number of Diplomas | 190 | 215 |
| Rate | 79.8% | 68.5% |

| 7 | EDEADM | ANOE DV | STUDENT | CRAHBO |
|---|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| - | ERFORM | ANCE BY | SHUDENI | GROUPS |

| | | Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2005 | | for LIFE arship | Gra | Graduation Rate | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|--|-----|--------------------|-----|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | Met State Objective | |
| All Students | 223 | 95.1 | 220 | 11.8 | 238 | 79.8 | YES | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 105 | 93.3 | 103 | 15.5 | 119 | 76.5 | N/A | |
| Female | 118 | 96.6 | 117 | 8.5 | 119 | 83.2 | N/A | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| White | 128 | 97.7 | 120 | 19.2 | 124 | 82.3 | N/A | |
| African American | 93 | 91.4 | 99 | 3.0 | 113 | 77.0 | N/A | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1 | I/S | 1 | I/S | 1 | I/S | N/A | |
| Hispanic | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| Non disabled | 200 | 96.5 | N/A | N/A | 212 | 85.8 | N/A | |
| Disabilities other than speech | 23 | 82.6 | 25 | 0.0 | 26 | 30.8 | N/A | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | |
| Non-migrant | 223 | 95.1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | N/A | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 223 | 95.1 | N/A | N/A | 237 | 79.7 | N/A | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 87 | 92.0 | 104 | 4.8 | 117 | 70.9 | N/A | |
| Full-pay meals | 136 | 97.1 | N/A | N/A | 121 | 88.4 | N/A | |

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

| HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO | HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GROUP | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | T | % Below Basis | ر ا د | Τ. | Τ, | % Proficient and | Performance Objection | /~. |
| | Enrollment 1st | " Tested | · / å | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | | છે / ટ્વે : | Participation Objective Met |
| | # £ | <u> </u> | / MO | / & | ¥ | dya! | | 3 5 4 | , |
| | 18 5 | / % | / 8 | / % | / % | / % | \g \psi_ | [] [] [] [] [] [] | [] # B J |
| | 1 4 0 | / | / % | / | / | / `` | % ४ | / ` | / °/ |
| E | nglish/Lan | | | Performa | nce Obje | ctive = 33 | | | |
| All Students | 248 | 98.0 | 20.8 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 18.6 | 54.7 | YES | YES |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 116 | 96.6 | 28.2 | 30.0 | 22.7 | 19.1 | 51.8 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 132 | 99.2 | 14.3 | 40.5 | 27.0 | 18.3 | 57.1 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 132 | 98.5 | 18.4 | 21.6 | 30.4 | 29.6 | 68.0 | YES | YES |
| African American | 109 | 98.2 | 24.8 | 53.3 | 17.1 | 4.8 | 37.1 | YES | YES |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 4 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 202 | 97.5 | 7.8 | 40.1 | 29.2 | 22.9 | 65.6 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 46 | 100.0 | 77.3 | 15.9 | 6.8 | N/A | 6.8 | I/S | YES |
| Migrant Status | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 248 | 98.0 | 20.8 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 18.6 | 54.7 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | NUA | 21/2 | 21/2 | 21/2 | 21/2 | 21/2 | 110 | 1/0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 248 | 98.0 | 20.8 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 18.6 | 54.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Socio-Economic Status | 400 | 07.7 | 05.0 | 45.0 | 00.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | V/E0 | \/F0 |
| Subsidized meals | 132 | 97.7 | 25.6 | 45.6 | 20.0 | 8.8 | 41.6 | YES | YES |
| Full-pay meals | 116 | 98.3 | 15.3 | 24.3 | 30.6 | 29.7 | 69.4 | N/A | N/A |
| | Mathemati | | Performa | , | ective = 30 | | | | |
| All Students | 248 | 98.0 | 29.2 | 32.2 | 25.8 | 12.7 | 49.6 | YES | YES |
| Gender | | | , | , | , | , | , | , | |
| Male | 116 | 96.6 | 30.0 | 27.3 | 29.1 | 13.6 | 51.8 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 132 | 99.2 | 28.6 | 36.5 | 23.0 | 11.9 | 47.6 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 132 | 98.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 32.0 | 20.0 | 63.2 | YES | YES |
| African American | 109 | 98.2 | 37.1 | 42.9 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 30.5 | YES | YES |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 4 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | 000 | 07.5 | 40.4 | 07.5 | 00.7 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 21/2 | 21/2 |
| Not Disabled | 202 | 97.5 | 16.1 | 37.5 | 30.7 | 15.6 | 59.9 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 46 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 9.1 | 4.5 | N/A | 4.5 | I/S | YES |
| Migrant Status | | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | N/A |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 248 | 98.0 | 29.2 | 32.2 | 25.8 | 12.7 | 49.6 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status | 248 | 98.0 | 29.2 | 32.2 | 25.8 | 12.7 | 49.6 | N/A | N/A |
| Subsidized meals | 132 | 97.7 | 38.4 | 35.2 | 20.8 | 5.6 | 36.8 | YES | YES |
| | 116 | 98.3 | 18.9 | 28.8 | | 20.7 | 64.0 | - | - |
| Full-pay meals | 1 110 | 1 90.3 | 1 10.9 | Z0.0 | 31.5 | ₁ 20.7 | 1 04.0 | N/A | N/A |

| SCHOOL PROFILE | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Our School | Change from Last Year | High Schools with Students Like Ours | Median High School |
| Students (n= 1,034) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 5.8% | Down from 8.3% | 8.8% | 8.1% |
| Attendance rate | 95.7% | Up from 93.7% | 95.5% | 95.6% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 13.0% | Up from 12.3% | 5.1% | 5.9% |
| With disabilities other than speech | 14.7% | Up from 14.3% | 14.3% | 13.3% |
| Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 11.2% 3.2% | Down from 12.0% Up from 2.7% | 10.2% 1.4% | 10.1% 2.0% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 9.3% | Down from 13.7% | 8.5% | 9.7% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | 44.6% | Down from 50.9% | 46.0% | 53.7% |
| Annual dropout rate | 1.7% | Down from 6.2% | 3.6% | 3.0% |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 1.1% | Down from 1.4% | 5.1% | 3.1% |
| Enrollment in career/technology center courses | 810 | Down from 889 | 481 | 431 |
| Students participating in worked-based experiences | 48.2% | Down from 60.4% | 28.7% | 23.4% |
| Career/technology students mastering core competencies | 84.4% | Up from 80.5% | 78.2% | 78.6% |
| Career/technology completers placed | 100.0% | No change | 98.4% | 99.4% |
| Teachers (n= 77) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 59.7% | Up from 59.3% | 51.6% | 54.5% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 85.7% | Up from 85.2% | 80.0% | 78.6% |
| Highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 91.7% 4.0% | Up from 90.3% Down from 6.3% | 90.4% 10.8% | 89.1% 9.1% |
| Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate | 92.3% 95.9% | Up from 92.1% Up from 94.5% | 86.7% 95.1% | 86.9% 95.4% |
| Average teacher salary | \$44.173 | Up 4.6% | \$41.610 | \$42.426 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 15.5 days | Up from 10.3 days | 11.6 days | 10.9 days |
| School | , | , , | , | , |
| Principal's years at school | 2.0 | Up from 1.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 24.3 to 1 | Down from 27.8 to 1 | 26.0 to 1 | 25.8 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 90.7% | Up from 87.0% | 88.8% | 89.3% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$4,517 | Up 2.2% | \$6,217 | \$6,422 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 62.7% | Down from 64.1% | 56.7% | 57.7% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | No change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Parents attending conferences | 96.7% | Up from 91.7% | 91.6% | 91.1% |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No change | Yes | Yes |
| Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported. | Good | No change | Good | Good |
| | | Our District | | ate |
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school | | 100.0% | | .4% |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scho | ols | N/A | | .1% |
| | | State Objective | | e Objective |
| Highly qualified teachers in this school | | 65.0% | • | es |
| Student attendance in this school | | 95.3% | Y | es |

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We at Union High School are continuing to strengthen our academic program with an emphasis upon using best practices in the classroom and aligning our curriculum to the state standards in all areas. We are especially proud of the following school accomplishments. This past year seniors received over \$1,116.540 in scholarship money including nine students who received Palmetto Fellows Scholarships. Three hundred ninety-three students participated in service learning activities, sixty in shadowing experiences, one in co-op placement, and fifty-one in internship placements. Thirty-five students were honored at the Academic Banquet for having made all A's for three nine weeks, and 110 students were honored for having made A's and B's for three nine weeks. More than 500 students were recognized through the Renaissance Program for making the honor roll, having perfect attendance, and/or no discipline infractions. Band, art, chorus, and drama students received numerous awards at the state and region completions; one student was selected to the Honor's Program for the SC Governor's School for the Arts in drama; and FBLA students won one award at the district level and at the state level. The JROTC department completed its formal inspection and won the designation of Honor Unit with Distinction—the highest rating.

We congratulate Mrs. Beth Wells for receiving National Board Certification and for being named Teacher of the Year. We congratulate Mrs. Billie Clark and Ms. Amy Kendrick for receiving Microsoft Office Specialist certification in PowerPoint, and Mrs. Casie Jolly for receiving specialist certification in Word. We also congratulate Mrs. Cynthia Langley for being named the SC NASSP Assistant Principal of the Year.

UHS hosted the WIA Summer Camp held for two weeks in June. Nineteen students from Sims Jr. High explored careers in the areas of Horticulture, Machine Tool Technology, Auto Body Repair, Auto Technology, and Graphics. Students also participated in a unit of personal development skills. The program concluded with a trip to the SC Museum in Columbia. The Career and Technology Center received an EIA equipment grant in the amount of \$65,000 for new and innovative equipment in several program areas.

Our goal at Union High School remains for all of our students to achieve excellence.

Mr. Rick Anderson, School Improvement Chair

Dr. Kristi V. Woodall, Principal

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 79 | 212 | 89 | | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 84.6% | 70.0% | 74.2% | | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 80.3% | 72.5% | 68.2% | | | |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 62.2% | 76.6% | 67.4% | | | |
| *Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included. | | | | | | |